

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/667,260		Applicant(s) SCHUNK ET AL.	
	Examiner Omar Rojas		Art Unit 2874	

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Laurence Greenberg.

Date of Interview: 8 October 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
21

Prior art documents discussed:
U.S. Pat. No. 4,410,469 to Katagiri et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amending claim 21 in view of the Katagiri reference. The proposed amendment was agreed to by Laurence Greenberg on October 12, 2004 via a telephone message and has been incorporated into the attached Examiner's amendment.



AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER